

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/693,437	10/24/2003	James R. Richter	09793953-0040	2007	
26263	7590 07/28/2005		EXAM	INER	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP			PATEL, V	PATEL, VISHAL A	
P.O. BOX 061			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IVE STATION, SEARS	STOWER	AKTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, II	_ 60606-1080		3679		

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

لم						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/693,437	RICHTER, JAMES	R			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vishal Patel	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in composition of the periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in oliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the			er is later. In no			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	-	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	, which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date			
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time period set fo	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); atter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.			(DTOL 204)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompilani Amendmeni	. (PIOL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	• ——	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11 M The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut doop NOT place the application:	n condition for all	.maa haaa			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by The argument that Kortman does not teach that to have noted in the final rejection that to use multiple blocks is	e a pivoting structure and multiple b					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		Nø(s), / ///				
13. Other:	1/	phet Falat				

PATENT EXAMINER TELH CENTER 3600